

Atlantis™ – patient-specific abutments

The Atlantis™ patient-specific abutments are Astra Tech's CAD/CAM solution for cement-retained implant restorations. Using the Atlantis VAD™ (Virtual Abutment Design) software, the abutments are individually designed based on the desired final tooth shape, which is an advantage for achieving a more natural esthetic result. Moreover, the software makes it possible to locate the margin in an optimal position, i.e. more coronally, in order to avoid cement-related complications. Atlantis abutments are available for all major implant systems, including the Astra Tech Implant System™ where the abutments are designed to function in harmony with the features and benefits of the Astra Tech BioManagement Complex™. The abutments are available in zirconia (white and shaded), titanium and gold-shaded titanium. The Atlantis abutment in zirconia is made of Y-TZP (yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia polycrystals). Detailed information about Y-TZP is available in the Zirconia Scientific Review.

The clinical use of the Atlantis abutment has been described in numerous case reports. Excellent esthetic results have been reported for both titanium^{1–10} and zirconia^{11–13} abutments. Moreover, advantages such as reduced chairtime^{14–16}, cost-effective and simplified treatment procedures^{8,17}, reduced number of impressions with duplicate abutments^{1,2,7,10,17,18}, accuracy of fabrication^{19,20}, ideal fit and retention of copings^{14,21}, and establishment of optimal soft tissue contour and emergence profile^{4,7,10,22} have been described for the Atlantis abutment. Excellent mechanical properties have been reported for the zirconia Atlantis abutment¹⁹. An in vitro study comparing the mechanical properties of Atlantis and Procera™ (Nobel Biocare)* zirconia abutments found superior results for the Atlantis abutments in terms of strength and probability to survive occlusal forces¹⁹.

*Atlantis™, VAD™, Astra Tech Implant System™, Astra Tech BioManagement Complex™ are trademarks of Astra Tech AB, all other trademarks are the property of respective owners.

1. Ganz S. Computer-milled patient-specific abutments: incredibly quality with unprecedented simplicity. *Implantology* 2003;2003:37-44.
2. Ganz SD. CT-derived model-based surgery for immediate loading of maxillary anterior implants. *Pract Proced Aesthet Dent* 2007;19(5):311-8; quiz 20, 02. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
3. Holt LR. A case study: A custom posterior abutment compared with a prefabricated stock abutment. *Inside Dentistry* 2008;Sept:2-3.
4. Kerstein RB, Castellucci F, Osorio J. Ideal gingival form with computer-generated permanent healing abutments. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* 2000;21(10):793-7, 800-1; quiz 02. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
5. Kois JC, Kan JY. Predictable peri-implant gingival aesthetics: surgical and prosthodontic rationales. *Pract Proced Aesthet Dent* 2001;13(9):691-8; quiz 700, 21-2. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
6. Nazarian A. Easier implant restoration: CAD/CAM generated implant abutments. *Contemporary Esthetics* 2007;February:44-48.
7. Schneider A, Kurtzman GM. Computerized milled solid implant abutments utilized at second stage surgery. *Gen Dent* 2001;49(4):416-20. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
8. Whitesides L. Evaluation of the Atlantis abutment in implant restoration. *Inside Dentistry* 2006;September:98-99.
9. Ganz SD. Use of stereolithographic models as diagnostic and restorative aids for predictable immediate loading of implants. *Pract Proced Aesthet Dent* 2003;15(10):763-71; quiz 72. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
10. Ganz SD. Defining new paradigms for assessment of implant receptor sites. The use of CT/CBCT and interactive virtual treatment planning for congenitally missing lateral incisors. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* 2008;29(5):256-8, 60-2, 64-7; quiz 68, 78. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
11. Petrungraro P, Smilanich M, Jimenez E. Use of ceramic abutments in the esthetic zone to enhance implant esthetics. *Inside Dentistry* 2007;February:2-5.
12. Watkin A, Kerstein RB. Improving darkened anterior peri-implant tissue color with zirconia custom implant abutments. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* 2008;29(4):238-40, 42. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
13. Whitesides LM. Solution for the challenging implant. *Dent Today* 2008;27(2):146, 48. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
14. Adams MW. Computer-designed and milled patient-specific implant abutments. *Dent Today* 2005;24(6):80-3. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
15. Ganz S. Finally, a 'win-win' solution: Increasing accuracy while saving time, money with computer-milled abutments. *Dental Economics* 2005;May.
16. Osorio J. Use of the Atlantis Abutment in restorative practice speeds time to function and aesthetics. [Interview]. *Dent Implantol Update* 2000;11(8):57-62. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
17. Garg A. The Atlantis Components abutment: simplifying the tooth implant procedure. *Dental Implantology Update* 2002;September.
18. Kerstein RB, Osorio J. Utilizing computer-generated duplicate titanium custom abutments to facilitate intraoral and laboratory implant prosthesis fabrication. *Pract Proced Aesthet Dent* 2003;15(4):311-4. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
19. Kerstein RB, Radke J. A comparison of fabrication precision and mechanical reliability of 2 zirconia implant abutments. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2008;23(6):1029-36. (ID No. 79174) [Abstract in PubMed](#)
20. Fuster-Torres MA, Albalat-Estela S, Alcaniz-Raya M, Penarrocha-Diago M. CAD/CAM dental systems in implant dentistry: update. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2009;14(3):E141-5. [Abstract in PubMed](#)
21. Ganz SD, Desai N, Weiner S. Marginal integrity of direct and indirect castings for implant abutments. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2006;21(4):593-9. (ID No. 79029) [Abstract in PubMed](#)
22. Bergstresser J. Implant abutment tissue strategies. *Journal of Dental Technology* 2009;August/September:34-36.